## **B561**

# Final Exam

Thursday, December 16, 2:45-4:45 pm

This exam comprises 3 pages. Ensure you hand in answers to 9 questions.

### Part I: Query Formulation

Recall the schema for the database maintained by the *BeeSure* honey farm:

WORKS\_IN(bee, hive) HEAD\_OF(queen, hive)

You may wish to abbreviate WORKS\_IN by W and HEAD\_OF by H in order to save writing.

- 1. Formulate the following queries in the *Relational Algebra* (use only the 5 basic operations, plus  $\bowtie$  if you wish):
  - (a) Find those queens that head hive 'h1' or 'h2'.
  - (b) Find those bees working in hives headed by the queen bee 'Isabella'.
  - (c) Find those queen bees that head exactly one hive.
- 2. Formulate the following queries in the *Tuple Calculus*:
  - (a) Find those queens that head hives that 'b1' works in.
  - (b) Find those bees working in hives headed by the queen bee 'Sheba' who do *not* work alongside the bee 'b2'.
- 3. Formulate the following queries in SQL. Conform to *either* the SQL '92 or the Oracle syntax (but not a mixture of both).
  - (a) Find those bees working in hives 'h1' or 'h2'.
  - (b) Find those queen bees that supervise hives having exactly 5 worker bees. You may wish to use aggregate functions.
  - (c) Find those queen bees that supervise the most worker bees (in terms of the sum total of *distinct* bees in hives that they head). You may wish to use aggregate functions.
- 4. Translate your tuple calculus query 2(b) (above) into the RA, using the translation algorithm outlined in class. For **bonus points**, you may wish to optimize your result RA expression, using the optimization technique given in class.

### Part II: Query Optimization

5. Transform the following SQL query into an *optimized* RA expression, using the method given in class. Assume the context of the *BeeSure* database (as in part I).

```
SELECT H1.queen, H2.queen
FROM HEAD_OF H1, HEAD_OF H2, WORKS_IN W1
WHERE W1.hive = H1.hive AND W1.hive = H2.hive AND W1.bee = 'b1'
AND NOT EXISTS ( SELECT *
FROM WORKS_IN W
WHERE W.hive=H1.hive AND W.hive=H2.hive
AND W.bee = 'b2')
```

Hint: You will need to transform the NOT EXISTS clause into an appropriate set difference expression in your initial RA expression.

- 6. Consider the relation schemas R(A, B, C), S(A, B), and T(B, C).
  - (a) Show that  $R \cap (S \bowtie T) \subseteq (\prod_{A,B}(R) \cap S) \bowtie (\prod_{B,C}(R) \cap T)$ .
  - (b) Given the additional information that the functional dependency  $AB \to C$  holds in R, show that  $R \cap (S \bowtie T) = (\prod_{A,B}(R) \cap S) \bowtie (\prod_{B,C}(R) \cap T)$ .

## Part III: Query Processing

7. Show that the complexity of performing a merge-sort join of relations R and S is  $O(|R|log(|R|) + |S|log(|S|) + |R \bowtie S|).$ 

#### Part IV: Concurrency Control & Recovery

8. The following four terms describe properties of schedules.

serial conflict serializable (as in class) recoverable strict

(a) Rank the four properties in terms of strength. A property  $P_1$  is stronger than a property  $P_2$  in case the fact that schedule S satisfies  $P_1$  implies schedule S also satisfies  $P_2$  (in symbols,  $P_1 \Rightarrow P_2$ ).

(b) A schedule,  $S_1$  is given below. State which of the four properties hold of  $S_1$ . For only the *strongest* such properties, argue *why* they hold of  $S_1$ .

Time	$T_1$	$T_2$
1	$\operatorname{read}(\mathbf{x})$	
2	$\operatorname{read}(y)$	
3		read(y)
4	write(x)	
5		$\operatorname{write}(\mathbf{x})$
6	$\operatorname{write}(y)$	
7	$\operatorname{commit}$	
8		$\operatorname{commit}$

(c) A schedule,  $S_2$  is given below. Is  $S_2$  serializable? Argue why or why not.

$\operatorname{Time}$	$T_1$	$T_2$	${T}_3$	$T_4$
1		rlock(x)		
2			rlock(x)	
3		wlock(y)		
4		unlock(x)		
5			wlock(x)	
6		unlock(y)		
7	rlock(y)			
8			unlock(x)	
9				rlock(y)
10	$\operatorname{rlock}(x)$			
11				unlock(y)
12	$\operatorname{wlock}(z)$			
13	unlock(x)			
14				wlock(x)
15				unlock(x)
16	unlock(y)			
17	$\mathrm{unlock}(\mathrm{z})$			

9. Two transactions are not interleaved in a schedule if every operation of one transaction precedes every operation of the other. Prove that every schedule, S, having the following property is serializable: If  $p_i, q_j \in S$  and  $p_i, q_j$  are conflicting operations of transactions  $T_i$  and  $T_j$  (respectively), then  $T_i$  and  $T_j$  are not interleaved in S.